

# Mixing properties of the numeration systems coming from weighted substitutions

(Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 30-4 (2010), pp1111-1118)

DOI 10.1017/S0143385709000510)

Teturo KAMAE  
Matsuyama University  
Matsuyama, 790-8578 Japan  
kamae@apost.plala.or.jp

## Abstract

A weighted substitution is a substitution with weights for each occurrence of substituted symbols. It defines a tiling space admitting the translation and scaling operators. The translation is the additive  $\mathbb{R}$ -action and the scaling is the multiplicative  $G$ -action, where  $G$  is a closed multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . We obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the additive action to be strongly mixing and weakly mixing.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a nonempty finite set. Let  $(\sigma, \tau) : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \cup_{n \geq 2} (\mathbb{A} \times (0, 1))^n$  be a *weighted substitution* on  $\mathbb{A}$ , that is, for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $(\sigma, \tau)(a)$  is a pair of elements  $\sigma(a) = \sigma(a)_0 \sigma(a)_1 \cdots \sigma(a)_{n-1} \in \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $\tau(a) = \tau(a)_0 \tau(a)_1 \cdots \tau(a)_{n-1} \in (0, 1)^n$ , where  $n$  is the *length* of  $\sigma(a)$  and

$\tau(a)$ , that is,  $n = |\sigma(a)| = |\tau(a)| \geq 2$ , which may depend on  $a$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{0 \leq i < |\tau(a)|} \tau(a)_i = 1$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ . Here,  $\sigma : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \cup_{n \geq 2} \mathbb{A}^n$  is a substitution on  $\mathbb{A}$  in the usual sense. We always assume that  $\sigma$  is *primitive*, that is, there exists  $k > 0$  such that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $b$  appears in  $\sigma^k(a)$  (the  $k$  times application of  $\sigma$  to  $a$ ).

We define the repeated applications of  $(\sigma^k, \tau^k)$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $(\sigma^k, \tau^k) : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \cup_{n \geq 2} (\mathbb{A} \times (0, 1))^n$ , inductively as follows. For any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\sigma^k(a)$  is the  $k$  times application of  $\sigma$  to  $a$  as usual, while  $\tau^k(a) = \tau^k(a)_0 \tau^k(a)_1 \cdots \tau^k(a)_{n-1}$  with  $n = |\sigma^k(a)|$  is defined as

$$\tau^k(a)_i = \tau^{k-1}(a)_h \tau(\sigma^{k-1}(a)_h)_j \quad (0 \leq i < n),$$

$$\text{where } i = \sum_{0 \leq h' < h} |\sigma(\sigma^{k-1}(a)_{h'})| + j$$

$$\text{with } 0 \leq h < |\sigma^{k-1}(a)| \text{ and } 0 \leq j < |\sigma(\sigma^{k-1}(a)_h)|.$$

We define the *base set*  $B(\sigma, \tau)$  of the weighted substitution  $(\sigma, \tau)$  as the closed multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  generated by

$$\{\tau^k(a)_i; a \in \mathbb{A}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 0 \leq i < |\sigma^k(a)| \text{ such that } \sigma^k(a)_i = a\}.$$

There are 2 cases, either  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{R}_+$  or  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with  $\lambda > 1$ . Moreover, it is known [1] that in the latter case,  $\lambda$  is an algebraic number. For the latter case, we define  $g : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , satisfying that

$$g(\sigma(a)_i) \in g(a) \tau(a)_i B(\sigma, \tau) \quad (\forall a \in \mathbb{A}, 0 \leq \forall i < |\sigma(a)|). \quad (1)$$

Such a function  $g$  always exists. For example, take  $a_0 \in \mathbb{A}$  and for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , define  $g(a) := \tau^k(a_0)_i$  for some fixed  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 \leq i < |\sigma^k(a_0)|$  such that  $a = \sigma^k(a_0)_i$ . For the former case that  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{R}_+$ , we define  $g(a) \equiv 1$ .

Given a weighted substitution  $(\sigma, \tau)$  and the function  $g$  as above. We define a numeration system  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  as in [1]. Here, we repeat the construction for the convenience of the readers.

Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy; y > 0\}$  be the upper half complex plane. An open rectangle  $(x_1, x_2) \times (y_1, y_2)$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  is called an *admissible tile* if  $0 < x_2 - x_1 = y_1 < y_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of admissible tiles. A subset  $\omega \subset \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{A}$  is called a *colored tiling* with colors in  $\mathbb{A}$  if

$$S \cap S' = \emptyset \text{ for any } (S, a) \neq (S', a') \text{ in } \omega \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and } \cup_{(S, a) \in \omega} \overline{S} = \mathbb{H} \quad (3)$$

Let  $\Omega(\mathbb{A})$  be the set of colored tilings with colors in  $\mathbb{A}$ . A topology is introduced on  $\Omega(\mathbb{A})$  so that a net  $\{\omega_n\}_{n \in I} \subset \Omega(\mathbb{A})$  converges to  $\omega \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$  if for every  $(R, a) \in \omega$ , there exists  $(R_n, a_n) \in \omega_n$  such that

$$a_n = a \text{ for any sufficiently large } n \in I \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(R, R_n) = 0,$$

where  $\rho$  is the Hausdorff metric between rectangles.

For  $(x_1, x_2) \times (y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we denote

$$\begin{aligned} R + t &:= (x_1 + t, x_2 + t) \times (y_1, y_2) \\ \lambda R &:= (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2) \times (\lambda y_1, \lambda y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that they are also admissible tiles.

For  $\omega \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we define  $\omega + t \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\lambda\omega \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega + t &= \{(R - t, a); (R, a) \in \omega\} \\ \lambda\omega &= \{(\lambda R, a); (R, a) \in \omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we define a continuous group action  $\lambda\omega + t$  of  $(\lambda, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\omega \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$ .

Let  $(\sigma, \tau)$  be a weighted substitution together with  $g$  as (1). Let  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)'$  be the set of all elements  $\omega$  in  $\Omega(\mathbb{A})$  such that for any  $((x_1, x_2) \times (y_1, y_2), a) \in \omega$ ,

- (I)  $y_1 \in g(a)B(\sigma, \tau)$ , and
- (II)  $(R^i, \sigma(a)_i) \in \omega$  holds for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, |\sigma(a)| - 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} R^i &:= (x_1 + (x_2 - x_1) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \tau(a)_j, x_1 + (x_2 - x_1) \sum_{j=0}^i \tau(a)_j) \\ &\quad \times (\tau(a)_i y_1, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

In this case,  $(x_1, x_2) \times (y_1, y_2)$  is called the *mother tile* of  $R^i$ 's in  $\omega$ .

A vertical line  $\gamma := \{x\} \times (-\infty, \infty)$  is called a *separating line* of  $\omega \in \Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)'$  if for any  $(R, a) \in \omega$ ,  $R \cap \gamma = \emptyset$ . Let  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)''$  be the set of all  $\omega \in \Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)'$  which do not have a separating line and  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  be the closure of  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)''$ .

**Definition:** By a *numeration system* with a nontrivial closed multiplicative subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we mean a compact metrizable space  $\Omega$  having at least 2 elements as follows:

(#1) There exists a continuous action  $\lambda\omega + t$  of  $(\lambda, t) \in G \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\lambda'(\lambda\omega + t) + t' = \lambda'\lambda\omega + \lambda't + t'$ .

(#2) The  $(\omega + t)$ -action of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  to  $\omega \in \Omega$  is strictly ergodic with the unique invariant probability measure  $\mu_\Omega$  called the *equilibrium measure* on  $\Omega$ . Consequently, it is invariant under the  $(\lambda\omega + t)$ -action of  $(\lambda, t) \in G \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\omega \in \Omega$  as well.

(#3) For any fixed  $\lambda_0 \in G$ , the transformation  $\omega \mapsto \lambda_0\omega$  on  $\Omega$  has the  $|\log \lambda_0|$ -topological entropy. For any probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  other than  $\mu_\Omega$  which is invariant under the  $\lambda\omega$ -action of  $\lambda \in G$  to  $\omega$ , and  $1 \neq \lambda_0 \in G$ , it holds that

$$h_\nu(\lambda_0) < h_{\mu_\Omega}(\lambda_0) = |\log \lambda_0|.$$

**Theorem 1.** [1] *The space  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  is a numeration system with  $G = B(\sigma, \tau)$ .*

In this paper, we study the spectral property of the additive action  $\omega \rightarrow \omega + t$  on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mu_\Omega)$  for  $\Omega = \Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$ . For to prove or disprove the weak mixing property, we use the same technic as B. Solomyak [4], and for to disprove strong mixing property, we use the same technic as F.M. Dekking and M. Keane [3]. In fact, we prove

**Theorem 2.** *The additive action on the space  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  with  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for some  $\lambda > 1$  is not strongly mixing. Moreover, it is weakly mixing if and only if  $\lambda$  is not a Pisot number.*

Since it is known [1] that the additive action on  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  with  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{R}_+$  has a pure Lebesgue spectrum and is strongly mixing. Therefore, we have the following classification.

**Corollary 1.** *The additive action on the space  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  is strongly mixing if and only if  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \mathbb{R}_+$ . It is not strongly mixing but weakly mixing if and only if  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with a non-Pisot number  $\lambda$ . It is not weakly mixing if and only if  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with a Pisot number  $\lambda$ .*

As for the pure discrete spectrum, we have a conjecture:

**Conjecture** The additive action on the space  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  has a pure discrete spectrum if and only if  $B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with a Pisot number  $\lambda$  and that there is only one element in  $\Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  having the separating line equal to  $\{0\} \times (-\infty, \infty)$ . (The “if” part can be proved.)

Our basic notations and terminology follow from [1]. See also [2], where the same contents are presented in a slightly different way.

## 2 Pisot case

Let  $\Omega = \Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  with  $G := B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for some  $\lambda > 1$ . We know that  $\lambda$  is an algebraic number ([1]).

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $0 \leq i < |\sigma(a)|$ ,  $\tau(a)_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ . Moreover, for the function  $g$  in (1), there exists  $g_0 > 0$  such that  $g(a)/g_0 \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ .*

**Proof.** By (1), for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $i$  with  $0 \leq i < |\sigma(a)|$ , there exists an integer  $n(a, i)$  such that  $\tau(a)_i = (g(\sigma(a)_i)/g(a))\lambda^{n(a, i)}$ . Let  $P_{ab} := \sum_{i; \sigma(a)_i=b} \lambda^{n(a, i)}$  and  $M := (P_{ab})_{a, b \in \mathbb{A}}$  be a square matrix with the index set  $\mathbb{A}$ . Then for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= \sum_{0 \leq i < |\sigma(a)|} \tau(a)_i \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i < |\sigma(a)|} (g(\sigma(a)_i)/g(a))\lambda^{n(a, i)} \\
 &= \sum_{b \in \mathbb{A}} g(b)/g(a) \sum_{i; \sigma(a)_i=b} \lambda^{n(a, i)} \\
 &= \sum_{b \in \mathbb{A}} (g(b)/g(a))P_{ab}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $g(a) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{A}} P_{ab}g(b)$ . That is,

$$\mathbf{g} = M\mathbf{g} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ g(a) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Since some power of  $M$  is a positive matrix and  $\mathbf{g}$  is a positive vector,  $\mathbf{g}$  is an eigen vector of  $M$  corresponding to the simple eigenvalue 1. Take  $a_0 \in \mathbb{A}$  and put  $g_0 = g(a_0)$ . Let  $\bar{g}(a) = g(a)/g_0$  for  $a \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{a_0\}$  and  $\bar{M}$  be the restriction of  $M$  to the index set  $\bar{\mathbb{A}} := \mathbb{A} \setminus \{a_0\}$ . Then, it follows from (4) that

$$(I - \bar{M}) \begin{pmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \bar{g}(a) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ M_{aa_0} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $I - \bar{M}$  is a regular matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  by an appropriate choice of  $a_0 \in \mathbb{A}$  as 1 is the simple eigenvalue of  $M$ , and the vector in the right hand also has entries in  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ , every  $\bar{g}(a)$  is in  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{a_0\}$ . Thus,  $g(a)/g_0 \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ . From this,  $\tau(a)_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  follows since  $\tau(a)_i = (g(\sigma(a)_i)/g(a))\lambda^{n(a,i)}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{I}(\Omega)$  be the set of integer points in  $\Omega$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{I}(\Omega) = \{\omega \in \Omega; \text{ there exists } ((x_1, x_2) \times (y_1, y_2), a) \in \omega \\ \text{such that } x_1 = 0 \text{ and } 1 \in [y_1, y_2)\}. \quad (5)$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\lambda$  is a Pisot number, then the additive action on  $\Omega$  has a nonconstant continuous eigen function.*

**Proof.** For  $\omega \in \mathcal{I}(\otimes)$ , let  $\{((\xi_j, \xi'_j) \times (\zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}), a_j); j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $0 = \xi_0 \geq \xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \dots$  be the collection of tiles in  $\omega$  such that

$\xi_0 = 0$ ,  $\zeta_0 \leq 1 < \zeta_1$  and for any  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $(\xi_j, \xi'_j) \times (\zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1})$  is the mother tile of  $(\xi_{j-1}, \xi'_{j-1}) \times (\zeta_{j-1}, \zeta_j)$ . Then, we have

$$\xi_{j-1} - \xi_j = \zeta_j \sum_{0 \leq i < h} \tau(a_j)_i$$

for some  $h$  with  $0 \leq h < |\sigma(a_j)| - 1$ . On the other hand,  $\zeta_j = g(a_j)\lambda^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  which tends to  $\infty$  linearly fast as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

By Lemma 1, there exists a positive integer  $q$  such that all of  $qg(a)/g_0$  for  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , and all of  $q\tau(a)_j$  for  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $0 \leq j < |\sigma(a)|$  are algebraic integers belonging to  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ . Let

$$D := \{q\tau(a)_j ; a \in \mathbb{A}, 0 \leq j < |\sigma(a)|\} \cup \{qg(a)/g_0 ; a \in \mathbb{A}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then,  $D$  is a finite set of algebraic integers belonging to  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  such that  $q^2(\xi_{j-1} - \xi_j)/g_0 \in D\lambda^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  which tends to  $\infty$  linearly fast as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a Pisot number, this implies that the distance of  $q^2(\xi_{j-1} - \xi_j)/g_0$  to the nearest integer tends to 0 exponentially fast. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\omega) &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp[2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^N q^2(\xi_{j-1} - \xi_j)/g_0] \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp[-2\pi i \eta \xi_N] \quad (\text{with } \eta = q^2/g_0) \end{aligned}$$

exists as a continuous function of  $\omega \in \mathcal{I}(\otimes)$ . It is continuous since  $\xi_N$  is determined by the local information of the tiling  $\omega$ .

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $s(\omega) \geq 0$  be the smallest number such that  $\omega + s(\omega) \in \mathcal{I}(\otimes)$ . Define a function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$f(\omega) = \psi(\omega + s(\omega)) \exp[-2\pi i \eta s(\omega)].$$

Then  $f$  is a nonconstant continuous function such that

$$f(\omega + t) = \exp[2\pi i \eta t] f(\omega) \tag{6}$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This is because if  $\omega + s(\omega) = \omega + t + s(\omega + t)$ , then (6) follows as  $s(\omega + t) = s(\omega) - t$ . If  $\omega, \omega + t \in \mathcal{I}(\otimes)$  with  $t > 0$ , then  $s(\omega) = s(\omega + t) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= \psi(\omega) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp[-2\pi i \eta \xi_N] \\ f(\omega + t) &= \psi(\omega + t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp[-2\pi i \eta \theta_N], \end{aligned}$$

where both of  $\xi_N - t$  and  $\theta_N$  are left coordinates of tiles in  $\omega + t$  with horizontal sizes at least  $CN$  for some  $C > 0$ . On the other hand, there is  $D > 0$  such that  $-DN < \xi_N - t \leq \theta_N \leq 0$ . This implies that  $\theta_N - (\xi_N - t)$  is a bounded number of sums of horizontal sizes of tiles with horizontal sizes at least  $CN$ , and hence, a bounded number of sums of numbers of the form  $g(a)\lambda^n$  with  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $n \geq CN$ . Therefore,  $\exp[2\pi i \eta (\theta_N - (\xi_N - t))] \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, we have  $f(\omega + t) = \exp[2\pi i \eta t]f(\omega)$ . Combining these 2 cases, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *If  $\omega$  has a separating line  $\{t\} \times (-\infty, \infty)$ , then we have  $f(\omega) = \exp[-2\pi i \eta t]$ . Moreover, if there is only one element, say  $\omega_0$ , in  $\Omega$  which has the separating line  $\{0\} \times (-\infty, \infty)$ , then the mapping  $\omega_0 + t \mapsto (\lambda^{-n} \eta t; n \in \mathbb{N}) \in \Theta_\lambda$  is extended to an homeomorphism between  $\Omega$  and  $\Theta_\lambda$ , where*

$$\Theta_\lambda = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots) \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}; x_n + a_1 x_{n+1} + \dots + a_m x_{n+m} = 0 \ (\forall n \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

and  $z^m + a_1 z^{m-1} + \dots + a_m$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\lambda$ . This proves the “if” part of Conjecture in Section 1.

### 3 non-Pisot case

Denote

$$C_0 := \min\{\tau(a)_i; a \in \mathbb{A}, 0 \leq i < |\sigma(a)|\} \quad (7)$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\lambda$  is not a Pisot number, then the additive action on  $\Omega$  is weakly mixing.*



**Proof.** Suppose that the additive action on  $\Omega$  has a nonconstant measurable eigenfunction, say  $f$ , such that

$$f(\omega + t) = \exp[2\pi i\eta t]f(\omega) \quad (8)$$

for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with some  $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the additive action on  $\Omega$  is ergodic, we may assume that  $|f(\omega)| = 1$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there exists a unique tile  $((x_1^0, x_2^0) \times (y_1^0, y_2^0), a^0) \in \omega$  with  $x_1^0 \leq 0 < x_2^0$  and  $y_1^0 \leq 1 < y_2^0$ . This tile is called the *central tile* of  $\omega$  and is denoted by  $T^0(\omega)$ . Then,  $\omega \in \mathcal{I}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $x_1^0 = 0$ . Let  $\Omega_0 := \Omega \setminus \mathcal{I}(\Omega)$ .

It is clear that  $\mu_\Omega(\Omega_0) = 1$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the values  $x_1^0, x_2^0, y_1^0, y_2^0, a^0$  of  $T^0(\omega)$  are determined by  $\omega$ , so that they may be written as functions of  $\omega$  like  $x_1^0(\omega)$ ,  $a^0(\omega)$ , etc. There are only finitely many different values of  $(y_1^0, y_2^0, a^0)$ 's, since  $a^0 \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $y_1^0 \in g(a^0)G$ ,  $y_1^0 \leq 1 < y_2^0$  and  $y_1^0/y_2^0 \in \{\tau(a^0)_i; a \in \mathbb{A}, 0 \leq i < |\sigma(a)|\}$ .

Take the minimum  $\theta = \theta(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that

$$T^0(\omega) = T^0(\omega + \theta).$$

Since  $\Omega$  is minimal with respect to the additive action and  $G$  is discrete, such  $\theta$  always exists and  $\theta(\omega) \geq C_0 y_2^0 > C_0$  ( $\forall \omega \in \Omega_0$ ) (see (7)). Moreover,  $\theta$  is a continuous and locally constant function if the domain is restricted to  $\Omega_0$ .

Take an arbitrary  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ . Since  $\omega$  and  $\omega + \theta$  share the central tile  $T^0(\omega)$ ,  $\lambda^n \omega$  and  $\lambda^n(\omega + \theta)$  share a colored tile  $\lambda^n T^0(\omega)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies the tilings of  $\lambda^n \omega$  and  $\lambda^n(\omega + \theta)$  restricted to the region  $(\lambda^n x_1^0, \lambda^n x_2^0) \times (0, \lambda^n y_2^0)$  are the same. Note that

$$\lambda^n x_1^0 \rightarrow -\infty, \lambda^n x_2^0 \rightarrow \infty, \lambda^n y_2^0 \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, for any metric  $\Xi$  on  $\Omega$  which is consistent with the topology, it holds that

$$\Xi(\lambda^n \omega, \lambda^n(\omega + \theta)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This implies that

$$\|(f(\lambda^n \omega) - f(\lambda^n(\omega + \theta)))\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $L^1$  is with respect to the equilibrium measure  $\mu_\Omega$ . Since  $|f(\omega)| \equiv 1$ , we have by (8),

$$\|1 - \exp[2\pi i \eta \lambda^n \theta]\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $\theta$  is a locally constant function on  $\Omega_0$ , there exists  $\theta_0 > 0$  such that

$$|1 - \exp[2\pi i \eta \lambda^n \theta_0]| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so that the distance of  $\eta \lambda^n \theta_0$  to the nearest integer converges to 0. Since  $\lambda$  is an algebraic number and  $\eta \theta_0 \neq 0$ , it is known that this is possible only when  $\lambda$  is a Pisot number.

Thus, we have a contradiction, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Strong mixing

Let  $\Omega = \Omega(\sigma, \tau, g)$  with  $G := B(\sigma, \tau) = \{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for some  $\lambda > 1$ . We prove that the additive action on  $\Omega$  is not strongly mixing.

Take an arbitrary  $\omega_0 \in \Omega_0$ . Let  $x_1^0 := x_1^0(\omega_0)$ ,  $x_2^0 := x_2^0(\omega_0)$ ,  $T_0 := T^0(\omega_0) + x_1^0$  and  $\theta_0 := \theta(\omega_0)$ . Define

$$A := \{\omega \in \Omega_0; T^0(\omega) + x_1^0(\omega) = T_0 \text{ and } \theta(\omega) = \theta_0\}$$

and

$$A_\epsilon := \{\omega \in A; x_1^0(\omega) \in (-\epsilon, 0)\}$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, x_2^0 - x_1^0)$ .

Since  $A$  is a nonempty open set in  $\Omega$  such that the boundaries have measure 0 with respect to  $\mu_\Omega$  and the additive action on  $\Omega$  is uniquely ergodic, we have

$$0 < \mu_\Omega(A) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \int_0^T 1_A(\omega + t) dt$$

for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Moreover, this convergence is uniform in  $\omega$ . In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Omega(A_\epsilon) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \int_0^T 1_{A_\epsilon}(\omega + t) dt \\ &= \mu_\Omega(A) \epsilon / (x_2^0 - x_1^0). \end{aligned}$$

For a sufficiently large integer  $n$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , consider the set  $I := \{t \in \mathbb{R}; \omega + \lambda^{-n}t \in A\}$ . It is a union of sufficiently long intervals, say  $I_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Let  $t \in I$ . Then, we have

$$T^0(\omega + \lambda^{-n}t) = T^0(\omega + \lambda^{-n}t + \theta_0).$$

Therefore, we have

$$T^0(\lambda^n\omega + t) = T^0(\lambda^n\omega + t + \lambda^n\theta_0),$$

since they are the descendants of the identical tile

$$\lambda^n T^0(\omega + \lambda^{-n}t) = \lambda^n T^0(\omega + \lambda^{-n}t + \theta_0)$$

at the same position. Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lambda^n\omega + t \in A_\epsilon$  implies that  $\lambda^n\omega + t + \lambda^n\theta_0 \in A_\epsilon$ . That is, if  $t \in I$ ,  $\lambda^n\omega + t \in A_\epsilon$  implies  $\lambda^n\omega + t \in A_\epsilon \cap (A_\epsilon - \lambda^n\theta_0)$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_\Omega(A_\epsilon \cap (A_\epsilon - \lambda^n\theta_0)) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \int_0^T 1_{A_\epsilon \cap (A_\epsilon - \lambda^n\theta_0)}(\lambda^n\omega + t) dt \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \int_{[0, T] \cap I} 1_{A_\epsilon}(\lambda^n\omega + t) dt \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \int_0^T 1_I(t) dt \cdot \frac{1}{|I \cap [0, T]|} \int_{I \cap [0, T]} 1_{A_\epsilon}(\lambda^n\omega + t) dt \\ &= \mu_\Omega(A)\epsilon / (x_2^0 - x_1^0) \geq \mu_\Omega(A)\mu_\Omega(A_\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta > 0$  tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, taking  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu_\Omega(A_\epsilon) < (1/2)\mu_\Omega(A)$ , we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\Omega(A_\epsilon \cap (A_\epsilon - \lambda^n\theta_0)) \geq 2\mu_\Omega(A_\epsilon)^2,$$

which implies that the additive action on  $(\Omega, \mu_\Omega)$  is not strongly mixing.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement:** The author thanks Prof. Boris Solomyak (Washington Univ.) for his useful suggestions to the author.

## References

- [1] Teturo Kamae, Numeration systems as dynamical systems (new version of numeration systems) (preprint) (download from <http://www14.plala.or.jp/kamae>)
- [2] Teturo Kamae, Numeration systems, fractals and stochastic processes, *Israel J. Math.* 149 (2005), pp.87-135
- [3] F.M. Dekking and M. Keane, Mixing properties of substitutions, *Zeit. Wahr.* 42 (1978), pp23-33
- [4] Boris Solomyak, Eigen functions for substitution tiling systems, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* 43, 2006, pp1-22 (International Conference on Probability and Number Theory, Kanazawa, 2005)